	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/538,664	KEMP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TUAN PHAM	2163

All Participants:

(1) _TUAN PHAM_.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _Troy L. Gwartney (Reg. No. 61,388)._.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _28 January 2010_   **Time:** _2:00 PM_

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
    If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 10, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the interest of compact prosecution and based on allowable subject matter agreed upon by Primary Wilson Lee Art Unit 2163, the examiner intitated an authorized interview with applicant's representative attorney Mr. Troy L. Gwartney (Reg. No. 61, 388) with regards to the claims' formality and suggested the applicant to incorporate the dependent claim 4 into claim 1, and other dependent claims into the other independent claims so that they were to be in the same scope with claim 1, in order to move the case forward for allowance.